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what they (the ANC) have done to put the economy on a right footing, is, I think, almost miraculous
- Pamela Cox, Former Head of the South Africa Division at the World Bank

Mandela has been the real sell-out, the biggest betrayer of his people. When it came to the crunch, he used his status to camouflage the actual agreement that the ANC was forging with the (white) South African elite
- Trevor Ngwane, Soweto Electricity Crisis Committee

The Men with Guns

In September 1999 the sheriffs came to Mandela Park with dogs and teargas and guns. On the first day they came to confiscate our goods. On the second day they came back to evict us from our homes. There were a lot of police, in Caspirs and in small vans. It was as if they were at war. They cordoned off one street at a time and started to evict people. The whole area came out, as well as neighbouring areas, to try and prevent the evictions. We stood up to them. No one told us to resist – it was spontaneous. People were beaten with batons, shot at with rubber bullets and bitten by police dogs. Teargas blew everywhere. A lot of people were injured and it is lucky that no one was killed. The police were only able to evict 13 families on that first day. And the community put many of the people who were evicted back in their houses. Later we got in touch with the Anti-Eviction Campaign in Tafelsig through the Anti-Privatisation Forum and then linked with people in Athlone, KTC, Valhalla Park, Gugulethu, Delft, Tambo Square, Mfuleni and elsewhere.

These are the words of Max Ntanyana and Fonky Goboza, activists in the Mandela Park anti-eviction campaign. As we write this introduction Ntanyana lives under bail conditions designed to make political life almost impossible after spending his third term of awaiting trial imprisonment for campaigning against evictions and disconnections. Goboza is on the move, relentlessly, trying to avoid arrest. Mandela Park is on the edge of Khayelitsha - the massive township that sprawls along the bleak plains of the Cape Flats outside Cape Town. If you walk down to sea you can, on a crisp day, see Robben Island.
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Apartheid was undone bit by bit by endlessly multiple acts of resistance and lines of flight. By the early 1980s people were moving from the rural Transkei, where apartheid sought to keep them, and on to Cape Town in such numbers that the state lost the capacity to regulate the borders between its two opposed zones. Around the country people who were taking control of new spaces gave those spaces names. And the people who moved to the edge of Khayelitsha defiantly called their space Mandela Park in honour of their hope.

Mandela Park is not the only community that finds itself under armed assault from the state ten years after the end of apartheid. As we write we are receiving reports of clashes between activists and private security guards hired by the state in Phiri, Soweto. People are resisting the state’s instalment of pre-paid water meters that force the poor to disconnect themselves from water. There have been arrests and a death. The fight goes on. Every night. In September 2003 the Durban City Council sent scores of heavily armed men into Chatsworth in armoured cars to disconnect hundreds of people from water. Two days before the community had been able to beat off a less well-armed invasion with barricades and stones. They have been fighting constant battles against eviction from their council owned flats and disconnection from water and electricity since May 1996 when “a detachment of fifty security personnel rolled into Chatsworth in 4 x 4 bakkies and began disconnecting water and electricity, throwing furniture and other belongings onto the street, before sealing the doors of flats.”

Revolts have ebbed and flowed in poor communities all over the country since 1996 when the African National Congress [ANC] became the first African government to ever voluntarily seek the help of the World Bank to design and impose a structural adjustment programme on its people. Markets were opened, taxes to the rich were cut, state assets were privatised, services were commodified and social spending was reduced. The results came quickly. The economy began to grow and the rich got richer. But as the government’s own statistics reveal the poor got significantly poorer and unemployment, already high, reached catastrophic levels. Over one and a half million jobs were lost. Over ten million people were disconnected from water and electricity respectively. Although the black elite became rapidly richer and the white poor became rapidly poorer the stark fact is that in general terms whites got richer and blacks got poorer. The government’s own statistics agency concludes that in real terms, average black African household income declined 19% from 1995-2000, while white household income was up 15%. Across the racial divides, the poorest half of all South Africans earn just 9.7% of national income, down 11.4% from 1995.

People have been putting their bodies in harm’s way and fighting revolutionary struggles to stay in the places where apartheid put them, to retain access to basic services like water and electricity and resist exclusion from education. Not even the most cynical anticipated that the
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millennial hopes that fuelled the mass and micro struggles against apartheid would be crushed this quickly and this brutally.

**Enter the World Bank (stage right, riding the neo-liberal juggernaut)**

*Changing the mindset of service providers, the elite, and the press is not simple, but it can be done. Much can be learned from the market penetration strategies of the private sector.*  
-The World Bank

By the late 1980’s the apartheid state was working closely with the World Bank. And The Bank, together with its enthusiastic allies amongst the local corporate elite, was able to persuade apartheid to begin to develop ‘public-private partnerships’ with a view to the state making the necessary arrangements for business to be able to outlay the capital for ‘development’ and for this investment to be recouped, with profit, from the poor. In this regard Mandela Park is unusual because it is one of the first places where housing for the poor was developed by private capital for private profit. This later became the ANC’s standard housing policy. In Mandela Park private banks, working with the state, bought land in 1986 and began to offer mortgages and employ private contractors to build houses.

Ntanyana and Goboza remember that:

The deposits on the houses were very low, about R500, and people were moving in by 1988. But the houses were not complete. They had no ceilings, or only one door, or no ventilation. They had cracks. They had rising damp. There was no plaster. There was only one door. And the lot size was too small. The banks built two houses on a single plot. These problems still exist in those houses today - 14 years later. The community said they were not prepared to pay for the houses until the banks resolved the problems. It is also very important to understand that because the banks own the land on which the houses are built there has been absolutely no development — no schools, no clinics. Everything is privatised – the land, the house, the water, the electricity – everything.

The residents mobilized their community and demanded that their houses be upgraded. When this failed to produce results, residents instituted a boycott of bond repayments. The apartheid state, fearing a violent backlash that would feed into growing support for the militantly democratic and anti-apartheid United Democratic Front [UDF], was hesitant to attempt mass evictions with the result that the banks found it politically impossible to act on court judgments they had obtained against defaulters. But soon after the ANC took power it entered into relationships with banks and private developers and, in an astonishing ideological somersault, became unashamedly committed to neo-liberalism’s foundational developmental principal - cost-recovery. The political legitimacy of the ANC, founded on years of struggle, enabled the banks to act on bad debts. There are times when it is necessary to return to Marx: “The more a dominant class is able to absorb the best people from the dominated classes, the more solid and dangerous is its rule.”
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The ANC was swept to power on a tide of emotion promising a ‘better life for all’. Trade union militancy from the famous Durban strikes in 1973 through to the last days of the negotiations in the early 1990’s had played a central role in forcing South African capital to abandon apartheid. But after the ANC imposed its structural adjustment programme in 1996 there was a rapid erosion of the local manufacturing economy; particularly the textile and clothing industry. Tariff barriers were dismantled and cheap goods produced under regimes of terror in East Asian sweatshops flooded the country. Most of the damage was done in the late 1990’s. But according to the general secretary of the South African Clothing and Textile Workers Union, Ebrahim Patel, more than a thousand jobs were still being lost every month in the clothing, textile and leather industries in the first six months of 2003. It is in this sector that thousands of people on the Cape Flats work and seek jobs. These losses followed the loss of 17 000 jobs during 2001 and 2002. At the same time new research put the national unemployment figure at between 32% and 45%. The research found that a quarter of the currently unemployed lost their last job because of retrenchment or business closure; and that half the people seeking work have never worked before. Furthermore while employment has declined rapidly the quality of jobs has also declined. Franco Barchesi shows that more and more people are working in ‘atypical’ or ‘non-standard’ (temporary, casual, contract, part-time) forms of employment that herald the ubiquity of a relatively unstable, and non-unionised workforce earning ‘poverty wages’. He makes the telling point that unemployment in itself is only partially accountable for working class poverty: “the existence of huge areas of working class poverty in South African society … indicate(s) an enduring, structural inability of waged employment to satisfy basic necessities for life and household reproduction”.

Social income has also been subject to merciless pressure. Pensions decreased in real terms between 1991 and 2000. Inequality has been exacerbated by the lack of state support (like a social wage) with over 13,8 million people in the poorest 40% of South Africa’s households not qualifying for any social security transfers. At the same times basic services like transport have been privatised, water and electricity have been corporatised and the state has demanded ‘user fees’ for school, health care and other services.
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Cost Recovery (and the armed assault on the poor)

To the point that their idea of freedom, a new and recent idea, is already fading from our minds and mores, and liberal globalisation is coming about in precisely the opposite form – a police state globalisation, a total control, a terror based on ‘law and order’ measures. Deregulation ends up in a maximum of constraints and restrictions, akin to those of a fundamentalist society.

- Jean Baudrillard

As David McDonald and Laila Smith have demonstrated so well the relentless concretisation of the precepts of the ANC’s structural adjustment programme have resulted in

a fundamental shift away from the 'statist' service delivery models of the past where the state subsidized and delivered municipal services (in an overtly racially-biased manner), towards a 'neo-liberal' service delivery model where the private sector (and private sector principles) dominate. In the latter model, the state acts as a service 'ensurer' rather than a service 'provider' and municipal services are 'run more like a business', with financial cost recovery becoming the most effective measure of performance.

These developments have resulted in the corporatisation of basic services as a prelude to their eventual privatisation. This has meant opportunities for the enrichment of people whose political connections got them onto the various boards - Umgeni Water in Durban, The Johannesburg Water Company and so on - and who are paid on highly lucrative incentive schemes that reward them for increasing profit at, of course, the direct expense of the poor. Moreover the late Joe Modise, an ANC cabinet minister, was a Director of Conlog Holdings, the company that manufactures the prepaid water meters that are currently being installed at gunpoint in Phiri, Soweto. And the Directors of Dynamic Cables, the company that supplies the cabling for prepaid electricity meters, include Keith Mokoape, former Deputy Head of ANC intelligence; Diliza Mji, former ANC treasurer general in KwaZulu-Natal; Ian Deetlefs and Ron Haywood who both held senior management positions in the apartheid state’s arms industry and Richard Seabrooke who, according the The Guardian was, involved in smuggling to UNITA in violation of UN sanctions.

So it goes. When water and electricity are finally privatised local elites stand to become very rich as the ANC demands that multinationals partner with aspirant black capitalists. There are times when it is necessary to go back to Fanon: “The national middle class discovers its historic mission: that of intermediary.”

The commodification of basic services has already resulted in a dramatic escalation of the costs of these services. But where is the money to pay for commodified services supposed to come from in communities where unemployment is endemic? In the most comprehensive study of affordability McDonald and Smith found a serious crisis:
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If for example, 18% of the seven million people who are reported to have been
given access to water since 1994 are unable to pay their water bills 'no matter
how hard (they) try', then 1.26 million of these new recipients are unable to
afford this water and an additional 1.2 million have to choose between paying
for water and buying other essentials like food. Similar percentages apply to
the 3.5 million South Africans who have been given access to electricity.24

This in turn has increasing caused ‘cost-recovery mechanisms’ such as disconnections of
water and electricity to occupy the attention and energy of the local state rather than the
delivery of new services. Between 1999 and 2000 some 75 400 water-cut-offs were imposed
in the Greater Cape Town area25. Furthermore neo-liberal logic rejects cross-subsidization
and insists that each community must, itself, ‘recover’ the full costs of service provision. This
means that people previously excluded from these services must carry the full cost of
installing new connections. Hence the poor, the black poor, must pay much more for their
services than the rich in the still largely white suburbs. Moreover coercive measures to
extract payment – disconnections and repossessions of property affected at gunpoint – are
disproportionately taken against the weakest people in society – again, the black poor. People
in Khayelitsha have had their property repossessed and their water disconnected for debts as
low as R200 while no action has been taken against the elite Newlands cricket ground for a
debt two hundred times larger. And, although it is perfectly possible to avoid all this by
getting the rich and corporates to pay more for services and thus cross subsidize the poor this
is not done because these people have the power to lobby effectively for the maintenance of
privilege.26 In this instance the enrichment of the developing black bourgeoisie is at the direct
expense of the poor. Indeed it is parasitic on the poor. A similar argument could be made
about what the ANC calls ‘black empowerment’ in a range of other areas including, for
example, the national lottery27.

Hegemony (and terror)

*What stank in the past is the present’s perfume*
- Lesego Rampolokeng28

William Robinson argues that the emerging transnational order is characterized by “novel
relations of inequality” resulting from “the rise of truly transnational capital and the
integration of every country into a new global production and financial system” that functions
in the interests of “a new transnational capitalist class” into which “a portion of the national
elite has become integrated...[i]n every country in the world”. This process has been driven
by “the rise of a transnational state, a loose but increasingly coherent network comprised of
supranational political and economic institutions, and of national state apparatuses that have
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been penetrated by transnational forces’ that serve ‘the interests of global over national accumulation process.’\textsuperscript{29} Robinson adds that:

In most countries, the average number of people who have been integrated into the global marketplace and are becoming “global consumers” has increased rapidly in recent decades. But the absolute number of the impoverished – of the destitute and the near destitute – has also increased rapidly and the gap between the rich and the poor in global society has been widening steadily, and sharply, since the 1970s.\textsuperscript{30}

For Robinson the emerging transnational order is best characterized as global apartheid. He notes that “Ruling groups and their organic intellectuals tend to develop both universalist and particularist discourses to legitimate their power and privilege in conformity with their own cultural and historical realities.”\textsuperscript{31}

With regard to universalist discourses we are currently witnessing a massive ideological project by the World Bank and others\textsuperscript{32} to delink the classic racial stereotypes (laziness, dirtiness, dangerous men and willing women etc, etc) that legitimated colonial domination from race and to project them onto the global poor of all races in order to legitimate contemporary forms of domination that entrench inequalities that were previously created in explicitly racist terms.\textsuperscript{33} The Bank’s recent book, Voices of the Poor\textsuperscript{34}, is premised on a Manichean split between ‘us’ and ‘them’ – the poor. The poor emerge as The Poor – a deindividualised and othered category whose ontological flaws account for their material poverty. Voices of the Poor inhabits the perpetual present against which Winston Smith struggles\textsuperscript{35}. There is an astonishingly complete refusal to take seriously historical forms of exploitation and dispossession, even when they structure and are entrenched in the present. Interviewees consistently explain that historical events caused or exacerbated their poverty. A story may start with “When my father was made a jeune retraite (forced early retirement, as part of structural adjustment policies) back in 1985…”\textsuperscript{36} or “When we lost the fish to the big companies….”\textsuperscript{37} But these views are immediately psychologised and delegitimised by the Bank’s editorial voice.

People… tend to think about their current economic position by comparing it with both their earlier standard of living and the current situation of others. Both are ways of attempting not only to rationally comprehend the
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transformations of their social status, but also to psychologically mediate their experiences...Comparing the present situation with the past is a way for respondents to externalise responsibility for the current situation. By pointing to specific events that impoverished everybody..., or the criminality and duplicity of the wealthy, respondents feel that, at least to a certain extent, their impoverishment is not the result of personal failings, but of events utterly beyond their control.38

The editorial voice never enquires into the social forces that produced the arrangements that channel millions of complex lives into its twin categories of ‘us’ - readers of World Bank books and actors with the potential to effect social change - and ‘them’ - ‘the poor’, objects of our paternalistic sympathies and whose ontological lack legitimates ‘our’ control over ‘their’ lives.

The Bank’s gaze is locked into the present. With neo-liberalism we are always at the beginning of year zero. And without history poverty is naturalised as is, by implication, wealth. Under colonialism “The cause is the consequence; you are rich because you are white, you are white because you are rich.”39 Under neo-liberalism the cause is still the consequence: you are poor because you are The Poor; you are The Poor because you are poor. John Holloway makes the important point that all discourses of domination that seek to fix the ontology of the dominated are conveniently ahistorical: “The crystallisation of that-which-has-been-done into a ‘thing’ shatters the flow of doing into a million fragments. Thing-ness denies the primacy of doing (and hence of humanity). Thing-ness is crystallised amnesia.”40 Amnesia, although in different forms, is equally useful for the old white elite and the rising black elite. The white elite relentlessly seeks to naturalise its privilege by disguising its history of conquest, expropriation and exploitation and presenting itself as the modernizing vanguard while the black elite seeks, with equal vigour, to entrench a systemic forgetting of the radical values of the struggles that bought it to power to be able to claim to be the vanguard of a project called ‘transformation’. In both cases the majority, who are becoming steadily poorer, are told that everything depends on nurturing and perpetuating the privilege of a minority who are becoming steadily richer.

Given the ANC’s routine presentation of their project to reorder society along neo-liberal lines as part of a project of deracialisation41 we should add that when such discourses seek to legitimate economic arrangements that entrench racialised inequality that is a direct consequence of historical racist domination their racial amnesia is virulently racist. Fanon developed a clear criterion for assessing the success of anti-racism in the post-colony: “To break up the colonial world does not mean that after the frontiers have been abolished lines of
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communication will be set up between the two zones. The destruction of the colonial world is no more or less than the abolition of one zone.\textsuperscript{42}

David Goldberg notes that the tremendous radical energies of the various social movements against racism and colonialism were committed to “transforming the racial status quo, the prevailing set of stultifying and subjugating conditions of existence for those deemed not white.”\textsuperscript{43} But he shows that these movements have been co-opted and made safe for extant power by being reduced to principles that are “primarily, principally, or completely to anti-racial commitment”.\textsuperscript{44} Using Appiah’s distinction between racism and racialism he argues that:

Antiracism requires historical memory, recalling the conditions of racial degradation and relating contemporary to historical and local to global conditions. If antiracist commitment requires remembering and recalling, antiracialism suggests forgetting, getting over, moving on, wiping away the terms of reference, at best (or worst) a commercial memorializing rather than a recounting and redressing of the terms of humiliation and devaluation. Indeed, antiracialism seeks to wipe out the terms of reference, to wipe away the very vocabulary necessary to recall and recollect, to make a case, to make a claim.\textsuperscript{45}

The particularist discourses of domination have their roots in both the desire to legitimate the elite pact negotiated at Kempton Park (the seductive ‘miracle’ discourse which often includes the ‘rainbow nation’ myth and the fetishisation of Mandela and the constitution) and the class project of the national bourgeoisie (the more coercive discourses of loyalty and obedience to the leader and the party). The former set of discourses are more common in the dominating zones while the latter are more common in the subordinated zones. Fanon, writing forty years ago, explains the pathological aspect of the national bourgeoisie’s discourse:

Privileges multiply and corruption triumphs, while morality declines. Today the vultures are too numerous and too voracious in proportion to the lean spoils of national wealth. The party, a true instrument of power in the hands of the bourgeoisie, reinforces the machine, and ensures that people are hemmed in and immobilized. The party helps the government to hold the people down. It becomes more and more anti-democratic, an implement of coercion. The party is objectively…the accomplice of the…bourgeoisie.\textsuperscript{46}

Fanon goes on to explain how the police and army are used and how the party gets its power by the fact that it is an opportunity for “private advancement”\textsuperscript{47}, by the ideological trick of demobilising the people and presenting itself as the only legitimate agent of change (i.e. it “expels the people from history”\textsuperscript{48} and by nationalist rhetoric that claims that the party incarnates the will of the people and that, therefore, to oppose it is to oppose the nation. So “these men who have sung the praises of their race…proclaim that the vocation of their
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people is to obey, to go on obeying and to be obedient until the end of time.” In contemporary South Africa nationalist rhetoric is not only mobilized to defend the interests of local elites via the ANC and linked political organisations. Thabo Mbeki is mobilizing Pan-African rhetoric, against widespread opposition from African intellectuals and social movement outside of South Africa, to win consent for his George Bush and World Bank approved New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD) that aims to subject the entire continent to a self-imposed structural adjustment programme.

So the ANC seeks to win consent for its armed extraction of wealth from the poor by the twin ideological strategies of the particular discourses of nationalism, with their demand for obedience to the leaders and the party, and the universal discourses of neo-liberalism with their demand for obedience to the market. Sometimes they are combined in novel ways like the government’s Masakhane campaign that claims, in the language of Ubuntu and in the context of entrenched and worsening unemployment and poverty, that the good person is the person who pays for services.

Universal and particular discourses of domination also intersect in a range of attempts to blame the poor for being poor and for suffering the effects of poverty. In South Africa, as in other countries, mass exclusions from access to clean water, resulted in the return of cholera. The South Africa government, like the Indian government, dutifully followed The Bank’s ‘initiative’ and launched a campaign to persuade poor people to wash their hands more often. So people who had been forced, at gun-point, to seek water in polluted rivers and gutters and ditches, were sternly told by Nelson Mandela, in a television campaign, that were getting sick because they are not washing their hands. As Lewis Gordon reminds us “the racist’s credo (is) that, ultimately, the problem with other races is the races themselves.” The neo-liberalist’s credo is that the problem with the poor is themselves. They get cholera because they are dirty. Academic consultants use the idea of ‘social capital’ to give a sophisticated gloss to the crassness of their collusion in this project of blaming the exploited and marginalized for their suffering.

Arguments about hegemony are useful for explaining why most academics and media in and outside of South Africa still enthuse about the World Bank’s enthusiasm for the ANC’s policies and why the lived experience of resistance and repression is almost completely absent from these spaces other than as part of the general discourse of criminality. Hegemony may even go some way to explaining how, in Mandela Park, the ANC took up the drive for cost recovery with such brutal enthusiasm, offered such quick support to the banks’ own drive to make good on bond repayments; and how the local state heaped further misery on the
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most vulnerable people in society by repossessing their clothes and household goods when they couldn’t pay their debts for basic services.

The right wing nationalists gathering around Mbeki in the ANC, the market fundamentalists in the Bank and the local corporate elite are not content with the situation where:

In the capitalist countries a multitude of moral teachers, counsellors and ‘bewilders’ separate the exploited from those in power. In the colonial countries, on the contrary, the policeman and the soldier, by their immediate presence and their frequent and direct action maintain contact with the native and advise him by means of rifle-butts and napalm not to budge.\(^{57}\)

They would like to achieve a situation where hegemony does all the work – where “everything is more elegant, less bloodthirsty….higher finance will soon bring the truth home...”.\(^{58}\) This is often called ‘development’. It is a lucrative niche market for academics – especially ex-Marxists. But despite all the funding from foreign donor agencies this project isn’t concluded and hegemony doesn’t explain how ten million people were disconnected from water in post-apartheid South Africa. That fact is explained by something else: guns, dogs, teargas, smashed up front doors banging in the cold wind, prison – in a word, terror. Frank Wilderson makes an incisive observation in this regard:

Capital was kick-started by the rape of the African continent. This phenomenon is central to neither Gramsci or Marx. The theoretical importance of emphasising this in the early twenty-first century is two-fold: first, the ‘socio-political order of the New World’ was kick-started by approaching a particular body (a black body) with direct relations of force, not by approaching a white body with variable capital. Thus, one could say that slavery – the ‘accumulation’ of black bodies regardless of their utility as labourers through an idiom of despotic power – is closer to capital’s primal desire than is waged oppression – the ‘exploitation’ of unraced bodies (Marx, Lenin, Gramsci) that labour through an idiom of rational/symbolic (the wage) power: A relation of terror as opposed to a relation of hegemony.\(^{59}\)

Mandela Park: the revolt begins

*The people no longer feel their bellies at peace when the colonial country has recognized the value of its elites. The people want things really to change and right away. Thus it is that the struggle resumes with renewed violence.*

- Frantz Fanon\(^{60}\)

At first meetings in Mandela Park consisted of small groups of affected homeowners who got together in an atmosphere characterized by despair and disbelief rather than militance. In fact, it was very difficult to summon up an attitude of defiance. After all it was the same ANC in

---

\(^{57}\) Fanon, *The Wretched of the Earth*, 28.
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which people had invested so much hope as they struggled against apartheid and it was just a few families that had been affected.

But there were a few trade union activists in Mandela Park who’d been fortified by years of having to assert life and hope against the cold logic of profit. They began to put these well-honed skills to use in their community. Solidarity also arrived from activists from the Western Cape Anti-Eviction Campaign (WCAEC), whose members in the ‘coloured’ area of Tafelsig in Mitchells plain had been confronted by evictions the year before.

The WCAEC people brought very few resources with them but the fact that a vibrant anti-eviction mass movement existed with its own language and rationale was a great boost to the people in Mandela Park. No sooner had they formed their own anti-eviction chapter than even more people received notice that they were to be evicted from their homes. Supported by a number of city-based NGO workers, trade unionists and free-floating left activists, the Anti-Eviction Campaign started operating at two levels: exploring the prospects of a legal battle and beginning a programme of mass mobilisation. Soon the WCAEC through a succession of well-attended meetings, became rooted within Mandela Park. A powerful, if grim, insurgency was born.

The ANC: banking on change

*Globalisation does not mean the impotence of the State, but the rejection by the state of its social functions, in favour of repressive ones, and the ending of democratic freedoms.*

- Boris Kagarlitsky

Around the world neo-liberalism recommends the creation or co-option of small, professional ‘civil society’ organisations in an attempt to give legitimacy to commodification under the guise of ‘public-private partnerships’. The ANC has taken this further by trying to create or co-opt simulated mass organizations to legitimise its polices. So, for example, when Thabo Mbeki’s catastrophic AIDS denialism was opposed by a mass movement made up of more than ten thousand volunteers, the Treatment Action Campaign (TAC), the ANC set up an organization called the National Association for People With AIDS (NAPWA) that demonised TAC and supported the President’s views on AIDS. This is never effective at the mass level but it does mean that ANC aligned media and academics always have a counter-posing voice easily at hand. In Mandela Park the ANC made use of the South African National Civics Organisation (SANCO). SANCO was a popular, radically democratic union of community organizations that had played a key role in resistance to apartheid. The ANC had demobilized it after taking power but now they resurrected SANCO as a top down structure for, in Fanon’s phrase, ‘holding the people down’. Ntanyana and Goboza explain that:

As a result of the boycott there were negotiations with the banks facilitated by the ANC and SANCO. No solutions came up, even though it was taken up to

62 See Fiona Lumsden and Alex Loftus “Inanda’s struggle for water through pipes and tunnels: Exploring state-civil society relations in a post apartheid informal settlement.” *Centre for Civil Society Research Report No. 6* for an excellent account of this in Durban. [http://www.nu.ac.za/ccs](http://www.nu.ac.za/ccs)
‘higher levels’ and people went to Jo’burg and everything. A local Joint Task Force for housing was created, with the ANC alliance on it. We were told all our demands would be met. But people were still not sure how much they should pay on their bonds. Heated debates developed in report-back meetings. Eventually proper report-backs stopped. SANCO would call meetings promising that the housing question would be discussed. But when people turned up at the meetings, the housing question would not be on the agenda. Instead all sorts of petty items would be discussed. People started to boycott these meetings.64

The ANC’s next move was to offer SANCO a 20% share-holding in Khayelethu Home Loans (Pty) Ltd, the company that provided finance for home loan debt in Khayelitsha. SANCO took the money. Letters of demand where now signed jointly by SANCO, the ‘civil society’ organization tasked with ‘representing the people’ and Khayalethu. Then an even more insidious organization arrived on the scene: SERVCON. As Ntanyana and Goboza explain:

SERVCON was formed in 1995 in terms of the 1994 ‘record of understanding’ signed between the government and the banks. SERVCON is half owned by the government and half by the banks. It was meant to serve the interests of the people, and to deal with the ‘historical problems’ (as it is put) of incomplete houses, arrears, etc. In fact it has acted as the agent of the banks. SERVCON has offered four options: ‘rightsizing’, rental, buybacks, or evictions. ‘Rightsizing’ meant being moved to tiny houses far away from the community. At first we were only told these options verbally. The first time we saw them in writing was in June 2002. The community opposed them all.

But SERVCON said that people must find a method to pay or else be evicted. The problem is that poor people cannot afford to pay what the banks demand. This is especially so when they have built up arrears, for whatever reason. Interest rates have been very high over the past period and this has vastly inflated the cost of these houses. Originally these houses cost R25 000. But many people have paid thousands of Rand more than this for them over ten years and they still don’t own them. It is unreasonable.65

SERVCON, as one community activist put it, “sleeps under the same blanket as the banks and the government.”66

The Western Cape Government’s attack on the poor of Khayelitsha at the beginning of 2002 was swift and brutal. In January 2002 evictions took place daily. Over 2 000 households faced eviction. Elsewhere in the country people were being evicted from council housing. But in Mandela Park the complainants were banks. Banks are important, even revered. When the bank’s lawyers get nasty, the state must be seen to respond to their Court orders immediately lest boardroom whispers begin about South Africa’s commitment to the rule of law. There’s no real danger, though. The Government seems to relish this role. Evictions are supposed to be followed by relocation to smaller houses in informal areas far outside the community. This process is described as ‘rightsizing’, the idea being that residents who find living in two-

---
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roomed houses beyond their means should be evicted and relocated to more affordable, and far more poorly serviced, one-roomed houses.

People who had been evicted from their homes by the Group Areas Act during apartheid, who had been forced out of ‘coloured’ townships as the government tried to police a ‘coloured labour preference policy’, who had been forced to find shelter in squatter settlements, now found the same thing happening to them again. But now it came under a new ‘raceless’ phraseology called right-sizing.

**Right-sizing**

"For them the whole purpose of language is to mask intent."

-Arundhati Roy

People evicted from Mandela Park are ‘rightsized’ to ‘houses’ that residents refer to as ‘Dog Kennels’. This was the fate of Mr Mcondobi. Mr Mcondobi, a pensioner, was evicted and ‘right-sized’ in February 2002. He was in good health when he was moved to a one-room house with no inside plastering, a leaking roof, and no bath or shower. The Cape winters are notoriously cruel. As winter set in, Mr Mcondobi contracted pneumonia and died. Max Ntanyana knows seven other old people from Mandela Park who died in ‘right-sized’ houses that winter and has heard of another fifteen from elsewhere in Khayelitsha.

Ntanyana and Goboza explain that local ANC officials rapidly moved from promises of help to the community to helping SERVCON identify easy targets for evictions.

After the first eviction the ANC ward councillors came in promising to solve the problems - but on condition that people must pay to prevent evictions. Small workshops were organised, with just a few people together with councillors and SANCO people etc. But after a short time we found that the ANC and SANCO officials were targeting the most vulnerable people - pensioners, the disabled, single mothers and so on – and intimidating them with the threats of eviction if they didn’t pay. The targeting of the vulnerable became a consistent pattern. This is why the ANC did so badly in the local government elections in our area.

But the backlash at the polls didn’t protect us. Immediately after the elections evictions started on a much bigger scale. People didn’t have the energy to fight back all the time and they were confused. We know of at least 190 families that were evicted in Mandela Park They were “right-sized” - relocated to smaller houses far away from Mandela Park, in Harare or Macassar. By 2001 the sheriffs and police were evicting people from more than 30 houses a day. In some cases people’s houses were put up for sale by the banks even before they were evicted - because there was no new smaller house ready for them. And they still had to continue to pay the bond on the original house!" 

---
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Resistance and Repression

new struggles always involve elements of continuity as well as discontinuity with the past. Bodies of thought formulated in different conditions, and marginalized in the recent past, can re-emerge to exert a major influence in a new movement.

- Alex Callinicos

At first the MPAEC sought to talk to the banks and the Provincial Minister of Housing. They pleaded that their houses be fixed and that the government buy back the land on which their houses were built from the banks. This was a reasonable expectation – that the state subsidise low-income group housing. All attempts at meetings with the ANC MEC (provincial minister) for Housing and the banks were rebuffed.

While the MPAEC showed a willingness to talk to the banks and politicians, it was resolute in refusing to get involved in the rationality of administrative procedures. This involved dividing the poor from the not so poor [preparing the former to be right-sized], negotiating a lowering of the debt [in return for suspending the boycott] and so on. In this way, the MPAEC was making power visible and laying the foundations for an open confrontation with the authority and domination that are often hidden by the impersonal rationality of administrative procedures.

As Ntanyana and Goboza explain:

At first we were only semi-organised. Our real campaign against the banks started at the end of January 2001. We hold twice weekly meetings, on Wednesdays and Sundays, which are attended by hundreds of supporters of the campaign. Western Cape community safety MEC Leonard Ramatlakane stated in the Cape Times that we are “a handful of people”. Our meetings are attended by young and old, as many and perhaps more women than men. We have invited Leonard Ramatlakane to attend our meetings, but he has failed to come and discuss with these people. We invite him again to come and see who we are.

In the first few years after 1994, ANC and even SANCO leaders were accorded respect and even deference. They carried the mystique of liberation fighters and spoke a language that promised a better life. But the exposure of false promises and the repressive response to the community’s mass mobilisation had the cultural effect of what Ralph Miliband has called “desubordination”, an erosion of respect and deference for both those who have power and their right to govern.

People were offended by the ANC’s flat refusal to meet the MPAEC and outraged when activists in the MPAEC were harassed by the police, armed with guns and dogs, while the banks enforced evictions. Gradually the community realized that its overtures to the banks and government were not being taken seriously. This set in motion a series of more militant actions. They included marching onto the company disconnecting electricity, popularising a campaign for a R10-a-month flat rate for basic services that had began in Durban and
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ensuring that nobody was able to occupy houses from which people had been evicted. Ntanyana and Goboza explain:

During 2001 we began to slow down the rate of evictions and by June 2002 we had essentially halted them. In 2001 the sheriffs started cutting water in Mandela Park, and confiscating goods as payment for bills in arrears. There are similar problems with electricity. The anti-eviction campaign is now taking on these problems too. People build up ‘arrears’ because they cannot afford to pay. This is why we have made the demand for a R10-a-month flat rate service charge. Already this is what our members are paying each month. In April hundreds of us sat in at the municipal offices in Khayelitsha to protest cut-offs and to demand R10-a-month service charge.

In November, we marched to the electricity company and they agreed to reinstall electricity boxes they had taken out. From March 2002 we started returning people to their original homes.

In some cases these original houses have been unscrupulously ‘sold’ by the banks so that new people have a title deed. New owners - some of them even policemen and soldiers — have agreed to move to allow the original owners to return. We assisted in them in finding them other housing, for example in vacant houses (often protected by the bank’s security personnel) where the original owner does not intend to return. We have not left a single new owner without alternative accommodation.

SERVCON and the banks are well aware that these houses are in dispute. It is very irresponsible of them to sell them off to new owners. Through this period we have been writing to the banks. We began writing to the ministry of housing after the ANC/NNP government came in the Western Cape in late 2001. Last May hundreds of us went to NBS and held a sit-in there. We complained that vulnerable people were being evicted and ‘rightsized’ to places in Harare and Macassar. We complained about the small plot sizes, and rising damp, the fact we had installed ceilings and roofs in the house at our own expense, had repaired faulty electrical wiring at our expense, and plastered and filled in cracks also at our own expense. We raised the question of the purchase of the land in Mandela Park by the government.

A few people proposed burning down two houses that the banks had repossessed. This was a catalyst for a series of public meetings to debate the issue. Out of this debate an entirely new tactic was born. Instead of burning down repossessed houses, a tactic that was obviously militant yet somehow a simultaneous admission of defeat, they decided on an altogether more positive strategy - to move people who had been evicted back in to their homes. “Seize our homes, seize our lives” was the provocative chant that went up in Mandela Park; a chant that would become a widespread practice, inspiring people all over the country and earning local activists the rage of the state.

On 30 May 2002, 250 people from Mandela Park crossed into the opposed zone and occupied the ground floor of the National Building Society (NBS) offices in swanky St. George’s Mall, 73

73 Goboza & Ntanyana, interview 2002
Cape Town. Most of the occupiers, the majority of whom were pensioners, faced eviction the following week. Their situation was desperate as they had to pay back R500 a month. Their monthly pension stood at R540.

On 12th June 2002 the MPAEC organised an occupation of Khayalethu Home Loans company and refused to let the manager leave until the company’s head office in Johannesburg agreed to attend a meeting which Khayalethu had previously promised, but failed to attend.

We met with Khayalethu Home Loans in July. More than 200 of us sat in the whole day. When the boss finally appeared we showed him a videotape of conditions in the houses, and of our struggles. We told him to scratch the arrears and to drop the prices of the houses. KHL agreed to scrap the arrears and promised to never again evict pensioners and the disabled. But NBS, Standard, First National are still arrogant and won’t move on anything.74

On 26th June some 300 MPAEC and Tafelsig AEC members converged on the Western Cape provincial parliament to get a date for a meeting with the ANC MEC for Housing Nomatyala Hlangana:

We have written numerous letters to the Western Cape MEC for housing, Nomatyala Hlangana She has never accepted our invitations to come and visit us. Eventually we heard that she was appearing on Radio Zibonele, on Wednesday 4 July, and we sent a delegation there to meet her. A few of us were on the air with her and she agreed to meet with us in Mandela Park - though later she withdrew from this.

Instead she set up a meeting with SANCO, COSATU, the SACP and ANC in Mandela Park. The leaders are saying that it is them who know everything and that the majority of the people can’t think. We are saying that everyone can think. We weren’t invited to this meeting with Nomatyala and the organisations that support the banks and the government so we went to her office in Wale Street with hundreds of people. Officials would not tell us if Nomatyala was there. While we were waiting for the Managing Director of SERVCON to arrive as we had been told, police surrounded the building, sprayed teargas inside, and arrested 44 of us. Some of those arrested were pensioners and children. We were charged with trespassing - in a ministry of our elected government! Among our bail conditions were that we never appear in Wale Street!75

The banks applied for an interdict against MPAEC and four members of the organization including the chairperson Max Ntanyana. The interdict was unopposed because there was no money to go to court. The interdict restrains the respondents from, among other, preventing evictions, persuading or inducing others to do the same, and directly or indirectly inducing or encouraging any person to occupy property. This interdict eventually became the basis for lengthy periods of incarceration of MPAEC activists.
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When the Sheriff of the court arrived to repossess the goods of a woman pensioner who owed R800 on her water account all they could found in her house was a battered mattress and some old clothes. They took the clothes. All of them. The community organised to defend the pensioner. The police moved in firing rubber bullets and teargas. Twelve people were arrested including MPAEC chairperson Max Ntanyana. Despite their injuries, they were held overnight in police cells and denied medical attention. The 12 appeared in court the next day, 11 were released on bail while a juvenile held overnight illegally was released without charge. But by now Max Ntanyana was a familiar face in the Khayelitsha Court. Sitting in the back of the courtroom was a police officer who had publicly stated that he regarded the MPAEC as an enemy of the state. The discourse of the police and local media began to add the word ‘terrorist’ to their existing vocabulary of ‘criminal’ and ‘ultra-leftist’.

Max Ntanyana was a shop steward in the COSATU-affiliated South African Municipal Workers Union. The union struck against the privatisation of municipal services. On 7 September 2002 20 workers were arrested and taken to Somerset police station. Ntanyana was accused of continuing with his AEC activities in spite of the interdict and charged with intimidation and contempt of court and, while in prison, fired from his job. The other workers were all released on R300 bail on 9 September but Ntanyana was imprisoned in Pollsmoor maximum-security prison for one month and one week while bail was refused. In Pollsmoor Ntanyana met people who have been awaiting trial for years. Two week’s after Ntanyana’s arrest, Goboza was arrested, imprisoned in the holding cells in Khayelitsha and eventually released on bail of R500. Ntanyana recalls that:

Pollsmoor is terrible. It was tough time. When you get a visitor you know that you are going to be robbed. You can only phone once a week. The warders won’t look after you. The prisoners fight. People are sodomized. But we are finding that other prisoners have a lot of respect for what we are doing. We survive.

And we get overwhelming support from the community. When we appear in court it is full up. One time when they were refusing me bail they made me appear at lunchtime so that they could avoid the community. But they were there. They were hiding me so that I couldn’t see the community. Then they said that my lawyer wasn’t there so I must come back tomorrow. But I know that my lawyer was there. There are police working for the banks undercover. But other police are also affected by the disconnections and evictions so they were briefing me – telling me that I would not get bail. There was support from Argentina, Canada, Italy, America, Germany. People from Durban and Johannesburg came down physically. They came to the court and to visit us in prison. The support made me feel very strong. I was not worried anymore. I became more worried about the community and the campaign – especially the arrests and the crackdown.

When we got bail our conditions were that:

- We can’t attend any public meetings, gatherings, marches, pickets of any nature
- We must sign two times a week at the police station (it costs R10 to travel there)
- We must not communicate with any evicted person
- We must not leave Khayelitsha without permission from the police
The ANC tried to rally in Khayelitsha.

On 26 October 2002 the AEC and ANC had rallies on the same day. We only had money for 4 buses but 6000 people came to our rally in Khayelitsha. The ANC had 12 buses moving all around the Western Cape and nice loudhailers. Jacob Zuma was the main speaker. Nobody came. The rally was postponed. They said it was because Pirates were playing Sundowns but people came to our rally at the same time. People are not interested in party politics – they are interested in real politics. We are getting stronger all the time. After we were arrested it seemed as if they have a continuous programmeme to harass us.

The provincial Safety & Security minister, Leonard Ramatlakane said that he would crack down on the AEC and our people are getting arrested all the time. He is on the central committee of the SACP but he is sacrificing his own people to advance the profit making of the banks. He came to the community with the MEC for housing and the new Cape Town mayor and hundreds of police, and casspirs. But we were thousands and we asked Ramatlakane to apologise for calling us criminals, to explain why he is siding with the banks while calling himself a communist and to withdraw the charges. He couldn’t say even a word and so he was chased away by the whole community. He calls himself a Communist and a leader but he can’t come to the community without police.  

The rhythms of resistance and repression beat faster and faster. On the last Thursday in July 2003 police and sheriffs moved into Mandela Park and evicted three households. This was after a similar operation in neighbouring Illitha Park exactly a week before where seven families were evicted in one street alone. In all cases, the evicted households were moved back into their houses by the community on the same day.

After the August 7, evictions (which were again reversed by the Mandela Park community), the young men in Mandela Park struck back, stoning police and targeting commercial vehicles driving down the main road through Mandela Park. This display of rage did not stop further attacks: On the following Sunday, police and sheriffs repossessed the goods of a woman who owed council for a water bill. They also disrupted the regular Sunday Mandela Park AEC meeting. The MPAEC press statement explained the pressure that the movement was under.

This is ongoing police repression. As of today, there are 6 comrades still in jail after being arrested on the Thursday the 12th of June (yes, that is 2 months ago!) for the simple 'crime' of re-occupying the houses that they had been evicted from. (Originally there were 10, but 4 have subsequently been released) The costs of hiring a lawyer to try and get these comrades released has left the Mandela Park AEC with a legal bill of R2 500, and as the case progresses, the bills are going to increase (the next court date is tomorrow!). The Western Cape Anti-Eviction Campaign is trying to raise money to pay this bill. We are also trying to raise funds towards a bail fund and a legal defence fund. We anticipate that as next year's elections draw closer, pressure would increase.
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on the Mandela Park Anti-Eviction Campaign will intensify - in the strongly contested Western Cape province, the ANC has relied on the votes of Khayelitsha residents. They will want to eliminate opposition social movements, such as the MPAEC, in order to secure their voter base.77

The ANC were now directly targeted in the poems that began to appear as resistance to evictions continued:

*Watch out Thabo [Mbeki]!*

*We will not build this nation in your image*

*We are not living in your nation, Thabo:*

*We are seizing our own power.*78

The Safety and Security MEC, Leonard Ramatlakane, a leading member of the SACP, announced that he had instructed the provincial police commissioner to restore stability to Khayelitsha “and deal with the anti-eviction group, which is behaving as if it is representing the state. It is manipulating the real concerns and real problems of the community and should be brought to order.”79

The WCAEC response was direct: “Why is there so much emphasis on criminalizing people who are living in poor circumstances? Let’s open debate on the issue of housing and let’s not talk about not having money while we spend millions on weapons and a jet for the president”.80 According to an MPAEC press statement “the catalyst for the ANC’s attack was the MPAEC’s practice of reinstating those evicted and rightsized even if the beneficiaries of this reinstatement strategy are people like 80-year-old Mrs. Ncama, card-carrying ANC member and care-giver to 5 children who was ejected from her house on the 25th July 2002”.81

Marginalisation and repression of community movements seems set to continue. In August 2003 the ANC Deputy Secretary General, Sanki Mthembi-Mahanye, in response to a question about the MPAEC, told a conference on Social Activism and Socio-Economic Rights that the government would distinguish between positive social formations and those with which they have a bit of a problem. “We are a young democracy … We need a consensus. So we cannot behave in a manner like societies [that have been] independent for many years …”82

In the same week the ANC Gauteng General Secretary, David Makhura, was more direct when he referred to people in community movements like the Anti-Privatisation Forum (APF) which opposes disconnections and evictions in Johannesburg, as ‘ultra-revolutionaries’. “Basazakubethwa! (They will continue to be beaten!)” he thundered, “COSATU is a contested terrain and in this province the ultra-revolutionaries must be given a tough time … The ultra-revolutionaries must have nowhere to hide.”83

77 *Mandela Park Anti-eviction Campaign Press Statement*, 7 August, 2003
78 *Poem read at an Anti-Eviction Rally, Mandela Park, authors’ notes*
79 *Cape Times*, 8 November 2002, 1.
81 *Mandela Park Anti-eviction Campaign Press Statement, 25th July 2002*
This Moment (waiting for the sheriff’s knock)

Social activism will always be about hard struggles to wage, often impossible to win, at least in obvious ways – so often every battle appears lost but over time, consciousness shifts and suddenly society shifts and the day is won. Can we learn to recognise threads of victory in our inevitable string of defeats? Can we develop new orientations and the will to do activism differently…?
- Doug Reeler

Fear and defiance continue to mingle in Mandela Park. The state has led a sustained repressive charge against the MPAEC. Over the last year and a half some 400 residents have spent some time in jail. The poor are progressively squeezed between state repression and the commodification of the basic means of life. The installation of a pre-paid electricity system means that in Khayelitsha where one survey revealed that half of the residents live on R167 a month, and a third on R39 a month, people are forced to self-disconnect. In typical neo-liberal ‘doublespeak’ the company controlling the pre-paid system, Phambili Nombani Electricity, has a board outside its offices in Mandela Park that reads: ‘The Customer’s Champion’. But there is some truth here too. In neo-liberal South Africa, there are no citizens, only customers. The grand conceptions of citizenship in the ‘best constitution in the world’ mean very little when you are a customer without a job.

The law is a terrain on which the banks are far stronger than their ‘customers’. Many people have almost no real opportunities to mount any sort of contestation on this terrain. Vakele Alfred Hempe of Lwandle Street, Khayelitsha is 55 years old. He came to Cape Town from Aberdeen in the Eastern Cape in 1958 after his father, a farm labourer, died and his mother could not afford to look after 13 children. In 1979 he found work with South African Breweries, starting out as a Hyster driver. By 1988 he was earning R 30 000 a year and decided to buy a home. He secured a Council house for R 58 000 with a loan from the Allied Building Society [now ABSA]. In April 1998 Hempe was retrenched and found it difficult to keep up with payments. In early 2000 he was served with a summons by the bank. In the part of Khayelitsha where Hempe lives, there hasn’t been the degree of mobilisation and organisation that has enabled people to physically defend their homes from the banks’ mercenaries. Organisations like SANCO and the local ANC branch are dominant and they refused to come to Hempe’s assistance on the grounds that they ‘do not condone a culture of non-payment’.

He approached the WCAEC for support. They approached the Legal Aid Board on his behalf and then, after being turned away the UCT Legal Aid Clinic. The clinic did not help. They gave the WCAEC with a letter that read: “It would appear that in spite of a commitment on paper to increase the proportion of civil cases, the state-funded Legal Aid Board Justice Centres in Cape Town, Athlone and Mitchells Plain are taking on no civil cases at all...”

---

84 Doug Reeler, If you meet the White Rabbit on the road, steal his watch, http://www.cdra.org.za
85 Programme for Land and Agrarian Studies, Research Report, 2003
86 Interviewed by Ashwin Desai, Khayelitsha, October 2003
87 Letter in Ashwin Desai’s possession
In a neighbourhood that hadn’t developed a fighting culture and without legal help he had no means to defend his home. His house was purchased by ABSA on 31 August 2000 for R10 000. Hempe was evicted together with his wife, three children and three grandchildren. He eventually managed to get his family back in the house by agreeing to pay R800-00 a month - eating up almost all of his casual wages at a company called Giant. But, although he has never missed one of these payments, the bank has renewed attempts to evict him. He lives in fear of the sheriff’s knock.

His family has lodged a land claim consequent to an apartheid era forced removal in Aberdeen. Given the pace of land restitution and the policy of ‘willing seller, willing buyer’ it is unlikely that Hempe will live to see the outcome of the claim.

Hleliwe Nosense Elsie Gaji was born in Molteno in the Eastern Cape in 1940. In the 1970s she followed her husband to Cape Town and lived in the squatter camp of Crossroads. It was a place wracked with violence as police persecution, vigilantes, warlords and anti-apartheid resistance led to a cycle of attacks, revenge and death. In 1989 the Gaji’s moved to a house in Mandela Park. They believed that, at last, they were taking the first step towards a better life. And things certainly looked up as the ANC was unbanned and Mandela was released in 1990. On the day of Mandela’s release Hleliwe and her husband rushed to the centre of Cape Town and became part of the great throng listening to Mandela promise a better life under the socialist banner of the Freedom Charter. The Gaji’s house is still adorned with a framed portrait of Mandela. But Hleliwe’s husband’s pension just wasn’t enough to enable them to keep up with the increasing payments on their home. In 2001 they were right-sized to a ‘dog-kennel’ in Macassar. “We went hungry. In Mandela Park our neighbours were family. They fed us. Here we are alone.” Her husband sank into a deep depression. One day the ‘old’ community arrived with great news – their house had been taken back from the bank and they could go back home. The Gaji’s were marched, ran, sang and danced back into their old home. “At least my husband died in the house he lived for”. The Macassar house was immediately occupied by squatters from across the road. Hleliwe lives in fear of the sheriff’s knock. But at 64 she attends the weekly meetings of MPAEC and is ready to fight. This woman with no ideology or insertion into a political tradition has made her whole life within a cycle of dispossession, resistance, repossession and repression that has moved seamlessly from apartheid to post-apartheid South Africa, from Botha to Mbeki.

---

88 Fanon’s idea of a fighting culture emerges in his theorization of the lived experience of resistance in the Algerian revolution. As Nigel Gibson Fanon and the Postcolonial Imagination (New York: Polity 2003) shows Fanon’s conception of a fighting culture is founded on “a protagonist not only entering history but becoming its author. Everyone could participate in the reconstruction and invention of...a social collective, where truth becomes subjectivity and subjectivity acquires a dimension of objectivity...Fanon saw it as the ‘practice of freedom’ taking place (134).
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Prospects for the movements

*Decision and insurrection are neither rational nor irrational, neither systemic nor spontaneous; they participate in the teleology of the common, that is, in that teleology that at each instant is opened in a creative manner to the immeasurability of the to-come* \(^91\) *...the eminent form of rebellion is the exodus from obedience, that is to say, from participation in measure, i.e. as the opening to the immeasurable.* \(^92\)

- Antonio Negri

The defence and seizure of houses, water and electricity entails the smashing, sometimes joyfully and sometimes grimly, of locks and meters. It is a rebellion against measure and an affirmation that humanity is an unconditional legitimation of the right to have the means to bare life. Under neo-liberalism that is heresy. This is good. This matters.

The rebellions around South African against the commodification of the means to bare life have come to be called, to the limited extent that any note is taken of them in the academy and the media, ‘community struggles’. What little has been written about these struggles, including the work of the current authors, tends to romanticize them. It’s difficult not to be moved by women who stare down men with guns to keep a neighbour in her house or teenage boys who risk prison to make sure that no old lady endures a winter without electricity. But it must be remembered that people are fighting ‘revolutionary’ struggles to keep themselves in apartheid’s satanic ghettos. As this diary of events in Mandela Park illustrates, life in neo-liberal South Africa remains, for the poor, a permanent state of emergency. The community movements respond to attempts to evict them from their homes or exclude them from water, electricity and education with actions designed to prevent and reverse dispossession. Their actions are largely defensive and reactive. There are generally periodic mobilizations around single issues that don’t develop into an ongoing mass based confrontation with the ANC’s neo-liberal juggernaut. The lack of resources and the ANC’s ability to enforce repression and make strategic concessions all feed into the inability to sustain mobilization. Moreover, for many community movements the need to fight defensive battles in the courts exhausts resources so rapidly that the possibilities of linking with other struggles in the country, let alone Harare or Cochacomba, are very limited. Indeed activists in Mandela Park often struggle to work with activists elsewhere in Khayelitsha when there is no money for telephone calls and transport. The danger is that the rebellion in Mandela Park could become an isolated militant particularisms, unable to function in the face of sustained repression and the ANC’s presentation of the comparatively well-funded and well-networked SANCO as the only body able to take up community issues ‘legitimately’.

Attempts to mobilize around issues within communities - like family violence, strategies for generating collective livelihoods, the creation of spaces for artistic expression and so on – have generally been fragmented and limited. But there are some attempts to move towards a politics that reaches beyond a defence of bare life. Certainly in Mandela Park the political culture of meetings continues to evolve in democratic directions. Meetings currently attract around 600 people but are still a space that is, in Ato Sekyi-Otu’s useful phrase, “radically political” in relation to itself and not just antagonistic to the forces that it opposes. For Sekyi-Otu “radically political” spaces are “essentially contestable and inescapably open, answerable
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\(^{91}\) Antonio Negri *Time for Revolution* (New York: Continuum, 2003), 227-228.

\(^{92}\) Negri, *Time for Revolution*, 258.
At these meetings everyone can speak, everyone is obliged to listen and decisions are taken by a show of hands. Discussion tends to be extremely practical and to draw on the many varied strengths of the community. The culture, still promoted by the ‘liberation movements’, of simply applauding speakers on a platform and endlessly reciting empty slogans, has been decidedly broken. Moreover people openly speak of the political nature of this form of organisation (twice-weekly mass meetings, the designation of delegates to take on particular tasks rather than representatives to hold a position etc.). In the words of the MPAEC’s Zuki Mlonyeni “we want to make decisions – not make leaders”.

This is a prefigurative politics – i.e. the organising of a movement “in a manner that prefigured the broader cultural values and social relations that it was seeking in a transformed society.” But there is a danger in portraying this as a uni-linear unfolding. Debates over leadership continue, with some activists feeling that the leadership of the MPAEC needs to be broadened, especially in the context of the constant repression that increasingly means time in prison. This debate has been limited by the fact that the leadership - often in prison, living on the run are under bail conditions that amount to something like an apartheid style banning order - are fearful of losing influence in the MPAEC and so support some degree of centralized control of the organisation.

Recently the MPAEC took a bold step in their attempt to move beyond reactive defensive struggles. They seized a derelict school building and opened a community run school called People’s Power Secondary School (later renamed Masiphumelele School). Some 1 800 children registered to attend and 28 unemployed teachers began teaching. The school was set up by Khayelitsha residents and members of the Anti-Eviction Campaign to cater for students excluded from other schools on grounds related to fees, failing exams or age. But after initially agreeing to allow the school the Western Cape Department of Education suddenly closed the school down. The acting chairperson of the school’s governing body, Chris Ndabazandile reported that most of the students were now sitting at home and that the school was closed despite meeting all the requirements for registration: “We were told that the reason the school is closing is that the African National Congress does not want it. Why … are they playing these unconstitutional games with us?”

For his efforts Ndabazandile was, to his astonishment, arrested, incarcerated, subjected to the crudest racial abuse and, just as suddenly, released.

Community movements like the MPAEC tend to be very wary of constituting themselves as formal organisations and linking into an organised national structure. In part this is a ‘reflexive’ response to the post-apartheid ‘co-option’ of ‘radical’ civic leaders and a fear that formalization will lead to the development of internal oligarchies that will “tend to blunt the
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94 Conversation with the authors, July 2003.
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main source of movement influence, militancy.”
Consequently community movements have mostly chosen the strategy that Frances Piven and Richard Cloward call ‘disruption’. They “see organisation, especially over time, as undermining possibilities for subordinate groups to bring about change … poor people are most likely to bring about change through disruption, and that disruption can be mobilised without formal organisation.”
The suspicion of formal organisation is also matched by a suspicion of ‘leaders’, fuelled by fears that people’s mobilisation will, once again, be hijacked. Often though, this suspicion has translated into a disavowal of leadership and has threatened imagination and invention at the level of tactics and militated against linkages with other struggles and movements, especially those of the organised working class.

Some argue that the power of these movements come from the very fact that they are ‘un-representable’ and ‘unpredictable.’ Others argue that these movements need to congeal into a new movement that “poses the potential of a serious challenge to the South African government amongst its historic core constituency – the broad working class”.

It has also been suggested that these movements exist autonomously and form strategic alliances at specific moments like the World Conference Against Racism and the World Summit on Sustainable Development.

Many look to the well resourced labour movement to, in alliance with the community movements, take resistance to the ANC’s neo-liberal policies across the razor wire and velvet ropes that still divide, albeit a lot more messily, South Africa into two opposed zones. It is often argued that this is a dead end because unions are firmly co-opted into corporatist structures of conflict mediation and are steadily loosing their capacity to constitute counter-power due to the new circuits of outsourcing and subcontracting resulting in an increasingly precarious and un-unionised labour force where women and ‘illegal’ migrants are employed in conditions of super-exploitation and low wages. Clearly it is true that in a context where full-time wage employment is part of the everyday life of just one third of the African labour force, and with an unemployment rate estimated as high as 45% of the economically active population, the organisations and forms of solidarity that had once translated insertion in waged employment into popular expectations for citizenship and democracy are facing a slow but dramatic decline. But while this outcome testifies to the remarkable success of the ANC in containing a well-established tradition of working class militancy, it also undermines the organisational power and coherence of trade unions as necessary partners in the process of constitutionalizing wage labour as a central disciplinary mechanism in society. This contradiction is producing an expanding variety of social actors that are voiceless within the corporatist institutions set up to contain the insurgencies of waged labour, and for which waged employment is not part of any reasonable life strategy, let alone views of citizenship and social integration. In fact the return of working class poverty means that even access to a low and irregular wage no longer provides adequate access to water, electricity and housing that are increasingly privatised and inaccessible at market prices. So the ANC’s success in responding to the challenges posed by the militancy of the organised working class is thus
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opening new, potentially explosive spaces of demands, solidarity and contestation that a 
diversified range of radically un-constitutionalized social subjects are ready to inhabit.

This analysis has led some activists and intellectuals allied to the movements to put complete 
faith in community movements and to and write-off unions. The spineless kow-towing of the 
COSATU leadership to the bullying by the Stalinists and conservative nationalists in the 
ANC reinforces these sentiments and a “go-it-alone” psychology.

The decline of formal employment, the bureaucratisation of decision-making and the failure 
of radical reform have severely damaged the extent to which unions can constitute 
themselves as a fighting force. But we would argue that it is precisely because of this that 
there is great potential for workers to become more centrally involved in community 
movements despite their leaders’ hostility to these movements. As Trevor Ngwane puts it, 
“The (COSATU) leadership has captured the bodies of the workers but their souls are 
wondering around. One day they will connect with other bodies.”

Across the country community movements are linked by what Kim Voss called a ‘fortifying 
myth’, “an explanation of defeat that linked current failure to future triumphs, keeping hope 
alive so that activists could mobilize support when new political opportunities arose.”

The community movements tend to focus on ‘betrayal’. The discourse at meeting after meeting 
declares that the ANC has betrayed the democratic spirit of the struggles against apartheid 
fashioned in the mass mobilizations of the 1980s. Speeches are filled with claims about how 
particular personalities and groups have ‘hijacked’ the ‘people’s struggles’. The community 
movements put themselves forward as the true torchbearers of liberation, a movement of 
movements that can never be defeated. This allows these organizations to “survive setbacks 
by maintaining the commitment of activists and their followers.”

Debates over the form of organisation, the nature of leadership and value of forming a broad 
front against the ANC are being vigorously debated in Mandela Park and in community 
movements around South Africa. What has become clear is that while community 
movements have ‘disrupted’ the ANC’s drive to impose neo-liberalism by winning a good 
number of defensive battles, they are only beginning to interrogate the constitution of 
counter-power.

Khayelitsha is a living monument to the struggles against apartheid. The roads are named in 
honour of the pantheon of resistance gods – Albertina Sisulu; Steve Biko; Govan Mbeki and, 
in Mandela Park, Braam Fischer and Chris Hani. It is on this roads that about a hundred 
people, mainly women, gather to call for the release of Max Ntanyana singing a 1980’s anti-
apartheid refrain, ‘Senzani Na?’ - ‘What have we done?’ It is October 2003. On the other side 
of the razor wire preparations for the celebration of ten years of democracy are in full swing. 
The people singing on Chris Hani road have done something. They have refused to be 
something. They are not docile extras in Mandela Park.
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Appendix 1

Origins and Development of the Western Cape Anti-Eviction Campaign [WCAEC]

Driving away from Table Mountain, along the national highway, you come to Mitchells plain, the huge township where the apartheid regime dumped "coloured" people. Turning into Mitchells plain, following the main road till it pushes against the dunes that signal the end of available space, you'll find Tafelsig – a mass of council houses and sandy streets that has a reputation, even in Mitchells plain, for gangsterism and poverty.

On February 18, 2001, the hall of the Tafelsig Community Centre was packed to capacity – an estimated 500 people were there for the launch of the Tafelsig Anti-Eviction Campaign. There were also people from other "coloured" areas, who were facing similar problems.

The Cape Town UniCity Council (formed by the amalgamation of all municipalities in the greater Cape Town area in late 2000) had inherited a stock of council housing from the apartheid regime, council housing that was only made available for “coloured” communities (since “Africans” were not meant to settle permanently in Cape Town). In terms of the neo-liberal vision that the UniCity was pursuing, this housing was a source of nothing but problems and debt. Council houses in Cape Town have typically not been maintained for over twenty years, and the rent collected over time is often worth more than the value of the houses. Yet in the UniCity’s view, the problem lies entirely with the tenants. A history of massive unemployment has left many tenants in arrears but, as the mayor’s spokesperson has said, the UniCity is determined to pursue an aggressive ‘credit control’ policy. They also call this a ‘pro-poor’ policy. Previously households that could prove that they were indigent had certain service charges reduced or scrapped but the neo-liberal inspired ‘pro-poor’ policy combined a set of “affordable” payment rates (often demanding hundreds of Rands in down payments) with harsh penalties should payments be missed.

The emergence of a mass-based campaign of protest in Tafelsig, and the newly formed UniCity’s insistence on resolving the municipal legacy of apartheid along neo-liberal lines, resulted in a standoff. The UniCity flooded Tafelsig with ‘pink papers’- final notices that action was going to be taken against Tafelsig residents. In response, the Anti-Eviction Campaign organised a ‘pink paper day’ - everyone who received a pink paper pinned it to their chest, like a ribbon, and marched down to the rent office.

The key strength of the Anti-Eviction Campaign was that it was rooted in the day-to-day life of Tafelsig as a community. At the launch of the campaign it was decided that ‘We’re not just fighting for houses, we’re fighting to build the community.’

In the context of the relentless poverty in Tafelsig, the role women play in building structures of communal responsibility has become a vital part of day-to-day existence. The meeting that launched the campaign was mostly comprised of women, and in the crowds that confront sheriffs and police, women are always prominent.105

When the word spread that people were fighting evictions in Tafelsig, people from all over
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105 See Richard Pithouse The Aunties’ Revolt
http://qsilver.queensu.ca/~mspadmin/pages/In_The_News/2001/February/revolt.htm for an account of a women’s revolt against evictions in Durban.
Cape Town started to phone the Tafelsig organisers. Already, at the founding meeting of the campaign, there had been speakers from Valhalla Park and Lavender Hill—now groups from Delft, Elsie’s River and elsewhere started making contact. In all these areas, a hidden struggle had been going on.

Everywhere the pattern was the same—groups of residents, with no money and few resources, were fighting the council’s crackdown on the poor. From all these struggles, the Western Cape Anti-Eviction Campaign was born.

The modes of protest vary considerably among the organizations within the Western Cape Anti-Eviction Campaign. Different traditions of organizing exist. In some areas activists tend to work one-on-one with residents and officials — for instance, in Valhalla Park, Elsie’s River, and Lentegeur. In other areas, it is normal to have public meetings and mass protests and residents expect to participate in these types of activities, e.g. Mandela Park and Mfuleni.

In some communities, these two modes of organizing are combined, for instance, in Athlone. The present repertoire of protest range from strategies that are compatible with the rules and procedures of the formal political system (e.g. community meetings, petitions, negotiations, and legal demonstrations) to practices that are more confrontational and unlawful (e.g. illegal reconnections, occupations of houses, forceful blocking of evictions and sit-ins). Many of the organizations combine diverse kinds of protests and only employ the more radical tactics when negotiations and legal demonstrations fail to yield acceptable outcomes (e.g. the recent protests in Mandela Park). Lastly, different political contexts frame mobilization issues in neighbourhoods.

In areas where the ANC is dominant and a tradition of party discipline exists, organising against water cut-offs and housing evictions is often perceived as anti-ANC and anti-government, for instance in Philippi and in Gugulethu to some degree.106

Nevertheless new areas of WCAEC presence are opening all the time. In Vrygrond, an area of shacks and tiny government built houses, the WCAEC began organizing at the beginning of 2003. The catalyst was the occupation of newly built houses by people living in shacks. The local government served summonses on some 145 households just before Christmas 2002 and in response a chapter of the WCAEC, the Vrygrond Anti-Eviction Campaign [VAEC] was set up.

Learning from past experiences of organizing on the Cape Flats the VAEC is trying to embed itself much deeper in the community. In a fascinating article Peter van Heusden and Rebecca Pointer, activists in the WCAEC, highlight the way in which the VAEC has built on existing patterns of mutual assistance in the neighbourhood. So in the first few months the VAEC existence there has been a ‘one Rand committee’ [collects one Rand per month per household per week toward operating funds], the research committee and the food committee.

106 This paragraph is informed by a proposal for a research project developed by Sophie Oldfield and Kristian Stokke The Western Anti-eviction Campaign: Research Work Plan, 2003
The structure of the weekly Vrygrond AEC meetings is focussed on two goals: maximum participation of residents, and development of this participation to a higher level of activity [in order to be able to take on the many needs identified by the 'co-ordinating committee']. The need for maximum participation has, from time to time, resulted in some creative strategies to generate interest. Besides the approach of walking around the streets, blowing a whistle to call people together, there was an incident where two active members of the AEC paraded through the streets in rag in order to generate interest in a 16 June communal meals/celebration. The underlying message, that creativity and ingenuity are more important organising tools than formality, is one that has been central to the success of the Vrygrond AEC. In our observation, the ‘closeness’ of Vrygrond AEC organising to the rhythms of everyday life in Vrygrond Phase 6 has been instrumental in maintaining this creativity.  
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Max Ntanyana
Activist, Mandela Park AEC
Deputy Chairperson, Western Cape AEC

Max grew up in Langa, in Cape Town, and has lived his whole life in Cape Town's highly politicised townships. At school he was involved with COSAS (Congress of South African Students - the ANC-aligned school student organisation). In the late 1980s, his family moved to Mandela Park, Khayelitsha. At that time, Mandela Park was a newly developed area, and during the next few years it was the scene of intense struggle between ANC and PAC aligned youth movements. In the post-1990 period, this struggle related both to the everyday life of the community - with Max being a member of one of the local 'self defence units' (SDUs) which defended the community against attacks from “third force” elements, but also often had the tendency to impose sectarian politics in areas. This political background led to Max being selected, along with other ex-SDU, ex-MK and ex-APLA members, for a political education programme run by the Workers’ College at the University of the Western Cape.

Through this programme Max was immersed in the intense debates of the post-1994 era around issues like the nature of post-Apartheid 'transformation' and the developing 'gravy train'. After leaving UWC, Max was involved in social work with street children in Site C, Khayelitsha, before becoming employed at the Zandvliet Water Treatment Plant, in Mahkaza, Khayelitsha. It was at Zandvliet that Max became a SAMWU shop steward, and it was through SAMWU that Max encountered the Cape Town Anti-Privatisation Forum, was sent to Johannesburg to the National Exploratory Workshop (a gathering of 'new social movements' to the left of the ANC which took place in November 2001) and subsequently encountered the Western Cape Anti-Eviction Campaign. Max was a labourer at the Suez-Lyonnaise owned sewerage plant in Mandela Park, which was privatised about 6 years ago. He lost his job during his second period in hiding in Dec 2002 after his second lengthy jail term for breaking the 5 banks' contempt of court interdict. While he was still a shop steward, he became one of Suez’s biggest enemies in the country, becoming a national private water union negotiator pursuing an aggressive and uncompromising stand of organising to remove the company's ownership of the plant.

Max's involvement in the Mandela Park Anti-Eviction Campaign was facilitated by his role in community politics in Mandela Park. He was part of the Community Police Forum which undertook night-time patrols in the area, searching residents for illegal firearms and being the visible face of 'law and order' in the township. As evictions and repossessions intensified in Mandela Park after 1999, Max became part of the Mandela Park Youth Housing Action Committee, and took part in resistance against evictions, which led to the formation of the Mandela Park AEC.

Max faces constant police harassment and spent October 2003 inside Pollsmoor Prison. Outside Pollsmoor his life is policed by apartheid-style bail conditions. These includes house arrest - he must be in his house between 6 pm and 6 am. He is not allowed to attend any public meetings.

The Mandela Park Anti-eviction Campaign has taken a principled decision against instituting formal leadership structures.